PATENT COOPERATION TREATY RECEIVED From the 2 7 SEP 2004 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT BANERJEE BL DEPENNING & DEPENNING 31 SOUTH BANK ROAD WRITTEN OPINION OF THE CHENNAI, INDIA 600028 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below SANKHYA:INT:003 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 28 February 2004 (28.02.2004) PCT/IN04/00020 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): G06F 15/16 and US C1.: 709/246 Applicant SANKHYA TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. lbis (b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

of Michaelle L. Even

Telephone No. (703) 305-3900

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT. Attn: ISA/US

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IN04/00020

	Vo. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in whic s filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With claim	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
ħ.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c,	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
ļ	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
. Additio	nal comments:
•	
	· ·

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

specific detail of the hierarchical tree structure in multiple formats.

can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/IN04/00020

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. Statement						
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5		YES		
· · ·	Claims	NONE		NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2-5		YES		
	Claims	1		_NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5		YES		
	Claims	NONE		_NO		
2. Citations and explanations:						
Claims 1-5 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest converting an input document, which has components as a hierarchical tree structure in multiple formats, to the desired format using a document composer and verifying the document.						
Claim I lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) a view of Meltzer et al. (hereinaster Meltzer), USPN 6,125 Regarding claim 1, Nehab discloses specifying curve in multiple formats and specifying the input sour col. 12, lines 40-63] and verifying and composing the hete document composer by dynamically obtaining input inform 14, line 67]. Nehab does not disclose converting the input Meltzer does disclose converting an input document to a distribution of the system of Nehab, so that different use by Meltzer, into the system of Nehab, so that different use	,391. componentees of information fro it documentes doc	ormation for each compound document to a desired for mant using a document to the inventor of	neous compound document as a hierarchica ch of the components as part of a model [N cument using a modeling language parser as pecified in the model [Nehab, col. 13, line formant using a document composer. However, cument composer [Meltzer, col. 26, lines	al tree Mehab, and a i - col.		

Claims 2-5 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3), because the combination of prior art does not teach or fairly suggest more

Claims 1-5 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus Internet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed